

Central charge of the parallelogram-lattice strong coupling Schwinger model

Ken Yee*

Physics Theory Group, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

(Received 28 May 1992)

We put forth a Fierz-transformed hopping expansion for strong coupling Wilson fermions. As an application, we show that the strong coupling Schwinger model on parallelogram lattices with nonbacktracking Wilson fermions span, as a function of the lattice skewness angle, the $\Delta = -1$ critical line of six-vertex models. This Fierz-transformed formulation also applies to backtracking Wilson fermions, which as we describe apparently correspond to richer systems. However, we have not been able to identify them with exactly solved models.

PACS number(s): 11.15.Ha, 11.15.Me

I. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

In recent years there has been remarkable progress in the classification of two-dimensional spin systems and three-dimensional topological Yang-Mills theories in relation to conformal field theories [1]. Yet, despite considerable activity in lattice gauge theories with fermions [2] and two dimensional lattice toy models [3], the Wilson lattice transcription of the Schwinger model [4] remains unsolved. The only exactly solved model with Wilson fermions and local gauge invariance is the square lattice strong coupling Schwinger model, whose partition function Z_{\square} at infinite hopping constant equals $Z_{6V}[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1]$, a 6-vertex model partition function [5]. By known 6-vertex model features, this mapping reveals that Z_{\square} is critical and its continuum limit is a conformal field theory with central charge $c = 1$.

Since the 6-vertex model has a nontrivial phase structure, it is natural to seek the Z_{\square} extensions which map to other regions of the $Z_{6V}[A, B, C]$ parameter space. To this end, we put forth a Fierz-transformed strong coupling hopping expansion for actions of the form

$$S_F = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \left(-M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x + \sum_{\mu=0}^1 \left(\bar{\psi}_{x+\hat{\mu}} T^{(+,\mu)} U_{x,\mu}^\dagger \psi_x + \bar{\psi}_x T^{(-,\mu)} U_{x,\mu} \psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} \right) \right), \quad (1a)$$

$$\Lambda \equiv \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^1 x^\mu \hat{e}_\mu \mid x^\mu \in Z \right\}, \quad (1b)$$

$$M \equiv m + \sum_{\mu=0}^1 (T^{(+,\mu)} + T^{(-,\mu)}).$$

S_F is the Wilson fermion action on a square lattice action if $\{\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2\}$ are orthonormal and $T^{(\pm,\mu)} = \frac{1}{2}(r \pm \gamma^\mu)$. More generally, on a parallelogram lattice defined by

$$\hat{e}_\mu \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_\mu^{(0)} \\ \hat{e}_\mu^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{e}_0 \equiv \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{e}_1 \equiv \lambda' \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2a)$$

$$g \equiv \sum_{a,b=0}^1 \hat{e}^{(a)} \hat{e}^{(b)} \delta_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda \lambda' \cos \theta \\ \lambda \lambda' \cos \theta & \lambda'^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2b)$$

the strong coupling partition function is

$$Z_{SC} \equiv \int_F \int \prod_{x,\mu \in \Lambda} dU_{x,\mu} e^{-S_F}, \quad (3a)$$

$$\int_{F_x} \equiv \int d\psi_x^1 d\bar{\psi}_x^1 d\psi_x^2 d\bar{\psi}_x^2, \quad \int_F \equiv \prod_{x \in \Lambda} \int_{F_x}. \quad (3b)$$

Assuming the nonbacktracking condition

$$T^{(-,\mu)} T^{(+,\mu)} = 0 = T^{(+,\mu)} T^{(-,\mu)}, \quad (4)$$

which prevents hopping expansion quarks from backtracking, we will show that

$$Z_{SCF} = \int_F e^{-S_{SCF}}, \quad (5)$$

$$S_{SCF} = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \left(-M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x + \sum_{\mu=0}^1 \Theta_x^{(+,\mu 5)} \Theta_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{(-,\mu 5)} \right).$$

Commuting operators $\Theta_x^{(\epsilon,\mu 5)}$ are characterized by¹

¹Under the Euclidean analogue of Hermitian conjugation, $\psi_E^\dagger \equiv \bar{\psi} \gamma_5$, $\bar{\psi}_E^\dagger \equiv \gamma_5 \psi$, $(S_F)_E^\dagger = S_F$, $(\Theta_x^{(\epsilon,\mu 5)})_E^\dagger = \Theta_x^{(\epsilon,\mu 5)}$.

*Electronic address: kyee@rouge.phys.lsu.edu

$$\int_{F_x} (\bar{\psi}_x \psi_x)^q (\Theta_x^{(\epsilon, \mu^5)})^p = 2\delta_{q,2} \delta_{p,0} \quad (\forall q, p \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (6a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{F_x} \Theta_x^{(\epsilon', \nu^5)} \Theta_x^{(\epsilon, \mu^5)} \\ & = r^{(\mu)} r^{(\nu)} (\delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{-\epsilon'\epsilon} + \delta_{\mu 0} \delta_{\nu 1} S_{-\epsilon', \epsilon}^2 + \delta_{\mu 1} \delta_{\nu 0} S_{\epsilon, -\epsilon'}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6b)$$

where $r^{(\mu)}$ and $S_{\epsilon, \epsilon'}$ are functions (given below) of λ , λ' and θ . Since $\Theta_x^{(+, \mu^5)} \Theta_x^{(-, \nu^5)}$ completely saturates \int_{F_x} , $\Theta_x^{(\epsilon, \mu^5)}$ hops along self-avoiding paths. Monomers $(M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x)^2$ fill in unhopped sites. We call this the Fierz-transformed hopping expansion because the “ $\Theta_x^{(+, \mu^5)} \Theta_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{(-, \mu^5)}$ ” form in (5) is achieved using Fierz identities. As described in Sec. III, the Fierz-transformed hopping expansion also applies to backtracking models.

Following (5), $\Theta_x^{(\epsilon, \mu^5)}$ hopping amplitudes are identified in Sec. II with Boltzmann weights of two-state vertex models. A nonperturbative solution of these vertex models (by Bethe ansatz or whatever) is tantamount to resummation of the hopping expansion. In this way we identify Z_{SC} with Z_{8V} , the 8-vertex model partition function with Boltzmann weights:

$$\omega_1 = M^2, \quad \omega_2 = 0, \quad (7a)$$

$$\omega_3 = (\lambda' \sin \theta)^{-2}, \quad \omega_4 = (\lambda \sin \theta)^{-2}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\omega_5 = \omega_6 = \frac{1}{4\lambda\lambda'} \left(\frac{1}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^2, \quad \omega_7 = \omega_8 = \frac{1}{4\lambda\lambda'} \left(\frac{1}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^2. \quad (7c)$$

The $\{\omega_i\}$ are defined in, for example, p. 347 of Lieb and Wu [6]: ω_1 corresponds to the empty vertex, ω_3 and ω_4 to vertical and horizontal lines, ω_5 and ω_6 to lower-right and upper-left corners, and ω_7 and ω_8 to lower-left and upper-right corners. Setting $\theta = \pi/2$ and $r^{(\mu)} = 1$ recovers the square lattice result of Ref. [5].

The 8-vertex model is not solved in general for the Boltzmann weights given in (7a)–(7c). A solvable case is $M = 0$ and $\lambda' = \lambda$. In this subspace, define

$$Z_{SC} = \int_F \exp(M \sum_x \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x) \prod_{x, \mu} [1 + \Xi(x, x + \hat{\mu}; D^{(\mu)}) + \frac{1}{4} \Xi^2(x, x + \hat{\mu}; D^{(\mu)})] \quad (12a)$$

$$= \int_F \exp\left(\sum_x [M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x + \sum_{\mu} (\Xi(x, x + \hat{\mu}; D^{(\mu)}) - \frac{1}{4} \Xi^2(x, x + \hat{\mu}; D^{(\mu)}))]\right). \quad (12b)$$

Let $n, m \in \{\pm 0, \pm 1\}$, $T^{(\epsilon, -m)} \equiv T^{(-\epsilon, m)}$, and $k_{y, -n} \equiv k_{y-\hat{n}, n}$. Expanding $\exp(M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x) = \sum_{s_x=0}^2 (M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x)^{s_x} / s_x!$ reveals that $\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}$ hops with amplitude $D^{(m)}$ such that $s_x + \sum_{m=-1}^1 k_{x, m} = 2$ for each $x \in \Lambda$. Thus only three events are possible at each site: (13a) $s_x = 2$, $k_{x, m} = 0$; (13b) $s_x = 1$, $k_{x, m} = 1$; or (13c) $s_x = 0$, $k_{x-\hat{n}, n} = k_{x, m} = 1$. The associated amplitudes are

$$\int_{F_x} \frac{1}{2} (M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x)^2 = M^2, \quad (13a)$$

$$\int_{F_x} M \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x \Xi(x, x + \hat{n}; D^{(m)}) = M \text{tr}(T^{(+, m)} T^{(-, m)} \psi_{x+\hat{n}} \bar{\psi}_{x+\hat{n}}), \quad (13b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D & \equiv \omega_1 = \omega_2 = 0, \quad C \equiv \omega_3 = \omega_4, \\ A & \equiv \omega_5 = \omega_6, \quad B \equiv \omega_7 = \omega_8. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then 8-vertex model symmetries [6] imply

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{SC} & = Z_{8V}[D, C, A, B] = Z_{8V}[A, B, C, D] \\ & = Z_{6V}[A, B, C]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, these 6-vertex models fall on the critical line characterized by Lieb parameter [6]

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{A^2 + B^2 - C^2}{2AB} = -1. \quad (10)$$

Varying the skewness angle θ from 0 to π spans the critical line between the antiferroelectric and disorder phases of the 6-vertex model.

While the points on this critical line all have a central charge $c = 1$ [7], to our knowledge it has not been demonstrated whether they are the same $c = 1$ conformal field theory or different ones. Renormalization group arguments suggest that the subset of points $A = B = \frac{C}{2}$, the so-called F model, corresponding to $\theta \in \{\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\}$ can be identified with free massless boson field theory [8].

II. FIERZ-TRANSFORMED STRONG COUPLING HOPPING EXPANSION

In the finite coupling ($\beta > 0$) hopping expansion [2] ψ hops from site to site with weight $T^{(\epsilon, m)} U_{x, m}$. At strong coupling such quark motions are suppressed. Define

$$D_{il, jk}^{(\mu)} \equiv T_{ij}^{(-, \mu)} T_{kl}^{(+, \mu)}, \quad \Xi(x, y; D) \equiv \bar{\psi}_x \psi_x^l D_{il, jk} \psi_y^j \bar{\psi}_y^k. \quad (11)$$

Since $(\Xi)^3 = 0$ in two dimensions, integrating out $U(1)$ links $\{U_{x, \mu}\}$ using

$$\int dU \exp(aU^\dagger + bU) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (ab)^k / (k!)^2$$

yields

$$\int_{F_x} \Xi(x - \hat{n}, x; D^{(n)}) \Xi(x, x + \hat{m}; D^{(m)}) = \Xi(x - \hat{n}, x + \hat{m}; \tilde{D}^{(n,m)}), \quad (13c)$$

$$\tilde{D}_{il,jk}^{(n,m)} \equiv (T^{(-,n)}T^{(-,m)})_{ij}(T^{(+,m)}T^{(+,n)})_{kl} - (T^{(-,n)}T^{(+,n)})_{il}(T^{(+,m)}T^{(-,m)})_{kj}. \quad (13d)$$

A backtracking $\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}$ pair, $k_{x,m} = 2$ or $n = -m$ in (13c), saturates \int_{F_x} at the two sites it occupies and, hence, makes a dimer. Since 2-state vertex models cannot model dimer-loop mixtures, Z_{SC} is not a 2-state vertex model unless backtracking is forbidden. In this section we adopt (4), which sets $\tilde{D}^{(n,-n)} = 0$. Then $\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}$ world lines comprise a self-avoiding loop gas with Boltzmann weight M^2 for unoccupied sites and weights $\tilde{D}^{(n,m)} = T^{(-,n)}T^{(-,m)} \otimes T^{(+,m)}T^{(+,n)}$ along loops.

We now recast the problem so that the Boltzmann weights are more succinctly related to hopping amplitudes. Define $\gamma_5 \equiv i\gamma_0\gamma_1$,

$$\gamma_0 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^\mu = \sum_{\nu,a} g^{\mu\nu} \hat{e}_\nu^{(a)} \gamma_a, \quad (14a)$$

$$T^{(\pm,\mu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(r^{(\mu)} \pm \Gamma^\mu), \quad (14b)$$

$$r^{(0)} = (\lambda \sin \theta)^{-1}, \quad r^{(1)} = (\lambda' \sin \theta)^{-1}.$$

Wilson regulators $\{r^{(\mu)}\}$ are chosen so that, in addition to (4),

$$T_{ij}^{(\epsilon,\mu)} T_{kl}^{(-\epsilon,\mu)} = (T^{(\epsilon,\mu)} \gamma_5)_{il} (T^{(-\epsilon,\mu)} \gamma_5)_{kj} \quad (\mu \text{ fixed}), \quad (14c)$$

$$T^{(\epsilon,\mu)} T^{(\epsilon',\mu)} = \delta^{\epsilon\epsilon'} r^{(\mu)} T^{(\epsilon,\mu)}, \quad (14d)$$

$$r^{(1)} T^{(\epsilon,0)} = r^{(0)} S^\dagger T^{(\epsilon,1)} S,$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} S_{+,+} & S_{+,-} \\ S_{-,+} & S_{-,-} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14e)$$

Fierz identity (14c) implies

$$\Xi(x, x + \hat{m}, D^{(m)}) = -\Theta_x^{(-,m5)} \Theta_{x+\hat{m}}^{(+,m5)}, \quad (15)$$

$$\Theta_x^{(\epsilon,\mu5)} \equiv \bar{\psi}_x T^{(\epsilon,\mu)} \gamma_5 \psi_x$$

and transforms (12b) to (5). Following (5), $\Theta_x^{(\epsilon,\mu5)}$ hops from direction $n \equiv \text{sgn}(n)\nu$ to direction $m \equiv \text{sgn}(m)\mu$ with amplitude (6b) where $\epsilon' = \text{sgn}(n)$ and $\epsilon = -\text{sgn}(m)$. Boltzmann weights $\{\omega_i\}$ can be read off from (6b). Straight $n = m$ vertical or horizontal hops, corresponding to ω_3 and ω_4 , have weight $(r^{(n)})^2$. If x is approached from $n = 0$ and exited in the $m = 1$ direction, $\omega_6 = r^{(0)} r^{(1)} S_{-,-}^2$. Similarly, $n = -1$ and $m = 0$ yield $\omega_7 = r^{(0)} r^{(1)} S_{+,-}^2$. Equations (7a)–(7c) list the remaining vertices.

III. BACKTRACKING

To study backtracking effects when (4) is removed, we work on a square lattice with $T^{(\pm,\mu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(r \pm \gamma^\mu)$. Fixed- μ Fierz transformations are

$$T_{ij}^{(\epsilon,\mu)} T_{kl}^{(-\epsilon,\mu)} = (T^{(\epsilon,\mu)} \gamma_5)_{il} (T^{(-\epsilon,\mu)} \gamma_5)_{kj} + \left(\frac{r^2 - 1}{8}\right) \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^\sigma \gamma_{il}^\sigma \gamma_{kj}^\sigma, \quad (16)$$

where $\gamma^\sigma \in \{\gamma^s \equiv 1, \gamma_5, \gamma_0, \gamma_1\}$, $(-1)^\sigma = -1$ for γ_5 , and $(-1)^\sigma = +1$ otherwise. Hermitian operators

$$\Theta_x^\sigma \equiv \begin{cases} \bar{\psi}_x \gamma^\sigma \psi_x & \text{if } \gamma^\sigma \in \{\gamma^s, \gamma_5, T^{(\epsilon,\mu)} \gamma_5\}, \\ i \bar{\psi}_x \gamma^\sigma \psi_x & \text{if } \gamma^\sigma \in \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1\}, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

are characterized by

$$\int_{F_x} (\Theta_x^s)^2 = 2, \quad (\Theta_x^s)^3 = 0, \quad (18a)$$

$$\Theta_x^{\sigma'} \Theta_x^\sigma = (-1)^\sigma \delta_{\sigma'\sigma} (\Theta_x^s)^2 \quad (\sigma, \sigma' \in \{s, 5, 0, 1\}), \quad (18b)$$

$$\Theta_x^\sigma \Theta_x^{(\epsilon,\mu5)} = \left[\frac{1}{4} (\epsilon\epsilon' \delta_{\mu\nu} - r^2) \delta_{\sigma(\epsilon',\nu5)} - \frac{r}{2} \delta_{\sigma5} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\sigma} \right] (\Theta_x^s)^2. \quad (18c)$$

Following (12b) and (16),

$$S_{\text{SCF}} = S_{\text{SCF}}^s + \sum_{x,\mu \in \Lambda} \left[\Theta_x^{(-,\mu5)} \Theta_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{(+,\mu5)} + \left(\frac{1-r^2}{8}\right) \sum_{\sigma=5,0,1} \Theta_x^\sigma \Theta_{x+\hat{\mu}}^\sigma \right], \quad (19a)$$

$$S_{\text{SCF}}^s \equiv \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \left[-M \Theta_x^s + \frac{1}{32} \sum_{\mu=0}^1 \left((r^2 - 1) \Theta_x^s \Theta_{x+\hat{\mu}}^s + 2 \right)^2 \right]. \quad (19b)$$

Note that S_{SCF}^s contains dimers. These systems are currently under study. We have not been able to identify them with solved models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to Amarjit Soni and Claude Bernard for their support, and to Yue Shen for discussions about Ref. [3]. This manuscript has been authored under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the Department of Energy.

- [1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B330**, 285 (1990); M. Wadati and T. Deguchi, Phys. Rep. **180**, 247 (1989); H. J. De Vega, in *Proceedings of 20th GIFT International Seminar on Integrability and Quantization*, edited by M. Asorey and J. Carinena [Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **18A**, 229 (1990)].
- [2] K. Wilson, in *New Phenomena in Subnuclear Physics*, Proceedings of the International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice, Italy, 1975, edited by A. Zichichi (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- [3] P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **30**, 1 (1992).
- [4] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2425 (1962); K. Yee, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 4644 (1992), and references therein.
- [5] M. Salmhofer, Nucl. Phys. **B362**, 641 (1991); H. Gaus-terer, C. Lang, and M. Salmhofer, Report No. UNIGRAZ-UTP, 1992 (unpublished).
- [6] E. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green (Academic, New York, 1972); R. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Academic, New York, 1982).
- [7] J. Cardy, in *Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena*, Proceedings of the Les Houches Summer School, Les Houches, France, 1988, edited by E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin, Les Houches Summer School Proceedings Vol. 49 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).
- [8] B. Nienhuis, J. Stat. Phys. **34**, 731 (1984).